

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/789,305	02/27/2004	Morgan T. Johnson	ML. P004	9203
41536 7	590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
RAYMOND J. WERNER			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
2056 NW ALOCLEK DRIVE, SUITE 314 HILLSBORO, OR 97124		4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,305	JOHNSON, MORGAN T
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the contraction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

1

Application/Control Number: 10/789,305

Art Unit: 2829

Claims 1-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and/or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 26, 2005.

The requirement to elect of December 13, 2005 is withdrawn and claims 12-20 acted on.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leas et al. With regard to claims 12-18, Leas et al show a product wafer 18 and a full wafer contactor 16 which faces the wafer 18 wherein contact is made by drawing a vacuum between elements 16 and 18. See column 3, lines 45 plus; column 7, lines 42 plus; column 8, lines

Art Unit: 2829

13 plus; column 13, lines 42 plus and column 15, line 44 through column 16, line 23.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwon et al. With regard to claims 12 and 19, Kwon et al show a wafer 10 and a wafer contactor comprised of the parts of element 14 minus elements 36 and 38. Note that contacts 30 and 18 have different pitches.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter

Art Unit: 2829

pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon et al in view of Rostoker et al. Kwon et al show that claimed except for the testing of die of different sizes. Rostoker et al show the testing of die of different sizes. See column 4, lines 52 plus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted the apparatus of Kwon et al to test die of different sizes as taught by Rostoker et al because one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that so doing would enable testing of a greater variety of devices.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yamada et al, DeHaven et al, Illani, Yojima et al and Burns are cited to show apparatus and method similar to that of Leas et al and Kwon et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

April 10, 2006

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER